#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

### **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference MLC/LCW/2874PC	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/GB2006/003416	International filing date (day/month/year) 15 September 2006 (15.09.2006)	Priority date (day/month/year) 15 September 2005 (15.09.2005)		
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant SMITH & NEPHEW, PLC				

1.	This international preliminary re International Searching Authorit	eport on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the y under Rule 44 <i>bis</i> .1(a).	
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 11 sheets, including this cover sheet.		
		ence to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.	
3.	This report contains indications	relating to the following items:	
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	
	Box No. II	Priority	
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application	
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application	
4.		ommunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but nakes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority	

	Date of issuance of this report 18 March 2008 (18.03.2008)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer  Dorothée Mülhausen
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	e-mail: pt01.pct@wipo.int

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/GB2006/003416 15.09.2006 15.09.2005 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC INV. A61M27/00 A61M1/00 A61M1/14 A61M1/16 B01D61/00 B01D71/00 Applicant SMITH & NEPHEW, PLC This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority ☑ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA: Date of completion of Authorized Officer this opinion European Patent Office - Gitschiner Str. 103 see form

PCT/ISA/210

Przykutta, Andreas

Telephone No. +49 30 25901-561

D-10958 Berlin

Tel. +49 30 25901 - 0 Fax: +49 30 25901 - 840

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2006/003416

_				
_	В	l xo	No. I Basis of the opinion	
1	. V	/ith ≀	egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
	$\boxtimes$	] th	ne international application in the language in which it was filed	
		l a p	translation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the urposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).	
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international applic necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a.	type	e of material:	
			a sequence listing	
			table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b.	forn	nat of material:	
			on paper	
			in electronic form	
	c.	time	of filing/furnishing:	
			contained in the international application as filed.	
			filed together with the international application in electronic form.	
			furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.		co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.	
4.	Ad	ditio	nal comments:	
	Во	x No	o. II Priority	
1.	⊠	rec	e validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority es not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where puired, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the sumption that the relevant date (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.	
2.		Thi has filin	s opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international g date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.	
3.	Add	ditior	ral observations, if necessary:	

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2006/003416

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of				
	the entire international application			
$\boxtimes$	claims Nos. 27			
because:				
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search (specify):			
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):			
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):			
$\boxtimes$	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 27			
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:			
	furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.			
	furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.			
	pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13 ter. 1(a) or (b).			
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.			
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.			
	See Supplemental Box for further details			

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2006/003416

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-26

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-26

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-26

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

#### Re Item III.

Independent claim 27 is describing a method for treatment of the human body by therapy. The claimed method is therefore not allowable regarding to Rule 67(1) (iv) PCT.

#### Re Item V.

- 1. Reference is made to the following document:
  - D1: WO 2004/037334 A (SMITH & NEPHEW [GB]; BLOTT PATRICK LEWIS [GB]; GREENER BRYAN [GB]; HAR) 6 May 2004 (2004-05-06)
  - D2: WO 2004/024300 A1 (UNIV MICHIGAN [US]; FISSELL WILLIAM H IV [US]; HUMES DAVID H [US]; ROY) 25 March 2004 (2004-03-25)
  - D3: US-A-5 328 614 (MATSUMURA KENNETH N [US]) 12 July 1994 (1994-07-12)
  - D4: WO 2005/046761 A (SMITH & NEPHEW [GB]; BLOTT PATRICK LEWIS [GB]; GREENER BRYAN [GB]; HAR) 26 May 2005 (2005-05-26)
- The present application does not meet the requirements of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

An apparatus for aspirating, irrigating and/or cleansing wounds, characterised in that it comprises (p. 1, I. 3-6; claim 1)

a) a fluid flow path, comprising

- i) a wound dressing, having a backing layer and at least one inlet pipe for connection to a fluid supply tube, which passes through and/or under the backing layer, and at least one outlet pipe for connection to a fluid offtake tube, which passes through and/or under the backing layer at least one inlet pipe being connected to a fluid recirculation tube, and at least one outlet pipe being connected to a fluid offtake tube (claim 1; Fig. 1): and
- ii) a means for fluid cleansing having at least one inlet port connected to a fluid offtake tube and at least one outlet port connected to a fluid recirculation tube (claim 1; Fig. 1);
- b) a fluid reservoir connected by a second fluid supply tube to an integer of the flow path (optionally or as necessary via means for flow switching between supply and recirculation) (claim 1; Fig. 1);
- c) a device for moving fluid through the wound dressing and means for fluid cleansing, and optionally or as necessary the fluid supply tube; and (claim 1; Fig. 1)
- d) optionally means for bleeding the flowpath, such that fluid may be supplied to fill the flowpath from the fluid reservoir via the fluid supply tube (optionally or as necessary via the means for flow switching) and recirculated by the device through the flow path (claim 1; Fig. 1).

The subject-matter of claim 1 therefore differs from this known device in that:

Apparatus with means for fluid cleansing using cells or tissue

The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as

To optimise the removal of toxic substances from aspirated body fluids

However, these features have already been employed for the same purpose in a similar device, see document D2, cp. 1, l. 12-17; p. 8, l. 25 - p. 9, l. 10; p. 18, l. 8 - 26; p. 20; l. 20 - 23; p. 39. It would be obvious to the person skilled in the art, namely when the same result is to be achieved, to apply these features with corresponding effect to a device according to document D1, thereby arriving at a device according to

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2006/003416

claim 1 The subject-matter of claim 1 does therefore not involve an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

- 3. Dependent claims 2-26 do not appear to contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to inventive step, the reasons being as follows:
  - 3.1 Document D1 discloses all additional technical features of claims 2-4, 6-24 and 26:
    - Claim 2: backing layer is forming a relatively fluid tight seal (claim 1; 9)
    - Claim 3: feedthrough point is forming a relatively fluid tight seal (claim 1; 9)
    - Claim 4: conformable wound dressing (claim 1)
    - Claim 6: single-phase system (claim 2)
    - Claim 7: two-phase system (claim 3)
    - Claim 8: integer selectively permeable (claim 4)
    - Claim 9: other fluid to remove material (claim 5)
    - Claim 10: oxidants; proteases; endotoxins; autoinducer ... (claim 8)
    - Claim 11: irrigant fluid may be supplied to fill the flowpath from the fluid reservoir via the fluid supply tube while aspirate fluid is aspirated by a device through the fluid offtake(Fig. 1; 2; 11; 12; 27)
    - Claim 12: a) a first device for moving fluid through the wound applied to fluid downstream of and away from the wound dressing, and b) a second device for moving fluid through the wound applied to the irrigant in the fluid supply tube upstream of and towards the wound dressing (Fig. 1; 3a-11; 27)
    - Claim 13: at least one of means for supply flow regulation (Fig. 1; 3a-11; 27: valves)
    - Claim 14: diaphragm pump or a peristaltic pump (p. 28, l. 17 p. 29, l. 10)
    - Claim 15: flow rate is a varied flow rate, either randomly or regularly cyclical (p. 29; p. 45)

- Claim 16: cycles of flow rate have a frequency up to 48 per 24 hours (p. 30: 1-50 1/24h)
- Claim 17: pulses of flow velocity have a frequency of from 1 to 60 per min (p. 28: 2-50 ml/min)
- Claim 18: a parallel flow, radial streaming, spiral streaming, helical streaming, spirohelical streaming or circular streaming (Fig. 1-27: implicitly, because the disclosed device for moving fluid across the wound are the same as disclosed in the application and must therefore have the same flow characteristics)
- Claim 19: aspirating means is also a vacuum means for creating a negative pressure on the area surrounding the wound (p. 46, l. 9-11)
- Claim 20: negative pressure is between about 1,01 and 100,3 kPa (0,01 and 0,99 atmospheres) (p. 30: 0,1-1 atm; 0,25-1 atm)
- Claim 21: backing layer is semi-permeable to allow flow rate of gas through it (p. 10-11: D2 is using the same material for the backing layer as described in the application, therefore the backing layer described in D2 should have the same physical and chemical properties)
- Claim 22: apparatus comprises a wound contact layer (claim 1; 9; Fig. 1-27)
- Claim 23: wound contact layer comprises a material chosen from the group: gauze, foam, a porous material, semi-porous material, semi-permeable material, an elastic or onflatable filler (p. 19-20: foam; p. 65: gauze)
- Claim 24: apparatus is portable (p. 3)
- Claim 26: aspiration and irrigation is either sequential or simultaneous (p. 45 ("... the irrigant and/or the wound exudate passes from the wound dressing ...": and: simultaneously, or: sequential))

3.2 Starting from document D1 as closest prior art, the additional features of claim 5 will lead to the following technical problem:

To optimise the removal of toxic substances from aspirated body fluids

However, these features have already been employed for the same purpose in a similar device, see document D2, p. 8, l. 25 - p. 9, l. 10; p. 39. It would be obvious to the person skilled in the art, namely when the same result is to be achieved, to apply these features with corresponding effect to a device according to document D1, thereby arriving at a device according to claim 5. The subject-matter of claim 5 does therefore not involve an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

3.3 Starting from document D1 as closest prior art, the additional features of claim 25 will lead to the following technical problem:

Finding a way to attach the cell culture to the system

However, these features have already been employed for the same purpose in a similar device, see document D2, p. 14, I. 22- p. 15, I. 2 (e.g. silicon); p. 18, I. 8-26; p. 20, I. 20-23. It would be obvious to the person skilled in the art, namely when the same result is to be achieved, to apply these features with corresponding effect to a device according to document D1, thereby arriving at a device according to claim 25. The subject-matter of claim 25 does therefore not involve an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).